APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Clara Locher PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Fox Lake PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ○ Office ☐ Field **FILE NUMBER: 200500062** Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. ☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FOX LAKE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Fox Lake is part of the Fox River Chain of Lakes and is therefore a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris ☐shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by:

adjacent.

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Dave Schanz PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lake Marie PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field **FILE NUMBER: 200500456** Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. ☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FOX LAKE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Lake Marie is part of the Fox River Chain of Lakes and is therefore a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank ✓ destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil □ other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: Date:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Davic C. Bueton PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Fox Lake PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field **FILE NUMBER: 200500314** Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FOX LAKE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Fox Lake is part of the Fox River Chain of Lakes system and the Fox River is a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☑destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil ☐ other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Par Development, Inc. (Ryan Trottier) PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 20-acre parcel located north of Huntley Road, south of County Line Road and west of Boyer Road in unincorporated Kane County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section

6, T42N R8E)				
FILE NUMBER: 200401091	PROJECT REVIEW COMPI	LETED:	☑ Office ☑Field	
Based on available inf Based on available inf There are no water There are non-junder of the months of the	ormation:	te. site.	33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD onal waters on the project site.	is an appealable
Basis of Jurisdictional Determ	ina tion:			
The presence of water interstate or foreign common of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3 The presence of inters. The presence of a tribution of the presence of wetter except for those wett. The presence of an iso	erce, including all waters which ar (a)(1)) tate waters (including interstate watery to an interstate water or othe ads adjacent ² (bordering, contiguals adjacent to other wetlands. (3)	re used in re subject retlands ¹) or water o lous, or n 3 CFR 32 rivers, st	the past, or may be susceptible for us to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., . (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) eighboring) to interstate or other wat (28.3 (a)(7)) reams (including intermittent streams)	navigable waters
Information Reviewed				
U. S. Geologic : USDA Natural !! U. S. Geologic: U. S. Geologic: U. S. Geologic: U. S. Geologic: Aerial Photograph Advanced Identification Conduction Other information	1:	TAL LAI il Survey Maps: CF rangles: angles: _ erver Nov W. Han	KE, HA 253. For Kane County. RYSTAL LAKE, 1992.	
Rationale for Basis (applies to property.	any boxes checked above): Ther	re are no	wetlands and waters of the United St	ates on the subject
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction Ordinary High Ware clear, natural for the presence of changes in the content wetland bounds	Mark indicated by: Simpressed on the bank Ster and debris		destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction	n:			
Area under consi-	ration is likely to have been juris	dictional	a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) thro under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bir al under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bir	d Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters decline ³	approve jurisdiction on the basi	is of 328.	3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]	l
Confirmation of Wetland Be This office contars v This office does not Recommended by:	laries your wetland delineation report	dated	, prepared by Date:	105
	~ ~		410	
Appreved by:	<u> </u>		Date:	1/2
occurrence of hydrophytic vegetati-). Process	the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (es for determining wetlands on agricultur	

² Wetlands separated from other will rs of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: John Fenzel PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 20-acre parcel located south of Allen Road and east of State Street in Hampshire, Kane County, Illinois (W 1/2 of Section 22, T42N R6E) PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

○ Office

Field FILE NUMBER: 200500096 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HAMPSHIRE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HAMPSHIRE, HA 459. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HAMPSHIRE, 1978. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): USGS/terraserver, no date Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: January 13, 2005 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands or waters of the United States on the subject property. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Lou Leonard PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: East side of Irving Avenue between Rt. 47 and Rt. 120 in Woodstock, McHenry County, Illinois / Nippersink Creek Waterway PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ⊠Field FILE NUMBER: 200500504 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WOODSTOCK. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WOODSTOCK, HA 256. USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WOODSTOCK, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: 11 April 2005 Other information: Conservation Services report dated March 4, 2005. Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Tho old ditches excavated in and through the pre-existing wetlands decades ago maintin the wetland in a drained state even though the soil is organic. Ditches are tributary to Nippersink Creek, which flows into the Fox River, a navigable in-fact waterway. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: destruction of terrestrial vegetation clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Date: 18 Afr OT Recommended by: Approved by: Date: occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Legacy Home Builders, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 15W536 63rd Street, Burr Ridge, DuPage County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: 200400814 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

○ Office

| Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HINSDALE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HINSDALE, HA 86. ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HINSDALE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The 63rd Street Dict is within the project site and is a jurisdictional waters of the U.S. which ties into the Des Plaines River. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris ☐shelving changes in the character of soil □ other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: 4-12-05 Recommended by: Approved by: Date: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Judson College PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Parcel north of Tyler Creek, south of I-90 and east of Route 31 in Elgin, Kane County, Illinois (Section 2, T41N R8E) FILE NUMBER: 200500131 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

✓ Office

✓ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELGIN. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELGIN, HA 147. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): USGS, 2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: January 27, 2005 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District Other information: FEMA FIRM Map and the Routine Wetland Delineation Report, dated September 16, 2004, prepared by ENCAP, Inc. Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Tyler Creek is a tributary of the Fox River and is therefore under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils other: wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: ____ Date: _ Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Gallagher and Henry PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 87 Acre Property Southeast of the Intersection of 131st Street and Wolf Road in Orland Park, Cook County, Illinois (Section 32, T37N, R12E). PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ⊠Field **FILE NUMBER: 200500472** Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SAG BRIDGE. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SAG BRIDGE, 149. ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SAG BRIDGE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):AirPhoto 1999 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: 23 March 2005 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland I, as identified on the wetland delineation report, is adjacent to Tampier Slough. Tampier Slough Drains into Long Run Creek and then into the Des Plaines River. Wetland 1 is under jurisdiction of this office. Wetland 2 drains into wetland 3. Wetland 3 drains to the west then disappears underground near Wolf Road and becomes untraceable. The additional wetland identified does not appear to exhibit a hydrologic surface connection to navigable waters. Wetlands 2, 3 and the additional wetland are not under jurisdiction of this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: _11 Apr 05 Recommended by: Date: Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago

FILE NUMBER:

REGULATORY PROJECT M	IANAGE	R: St	eve Gag	non	Da	ate: 11 April	2005		
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATI	ON COM	PLETE		the office	(1arch 2005	
PROJECT LOCATION INFO State: County:	RMATI(ON:				Illino Cook	is		
Center coordinates of	f site hy l	atitude &	longitu	dinal coord	lingtes		9-4.6023; 87 -5	3-28 6204	
Approximate size of s						87	7 4.0025, 07 5	3 20.0204	
Name of waterway or			BI				laines River		
SITE CONDITIONS:									
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigable,	, intra-state	water present	and best estima	te for size of	non-
jurisdictional aquatic resource are	a.								
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If Known		If Unknown Use Best Pro	ofessional Judgn	ent	
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	-	Able To Make termination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	_	_		×					
Is or would be used as habitat by o	ther migr	atory bird	s that	\boxtimes					
cross state lines?							ļ		
Is or would be used as habitat for				<u> </u>	Ц	 	 		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int Check appropriate boxes that bes			for appli	a hility of th	_ <u> </u>	L. Bule to	apply to onsite	non jurisdie	tional isolated
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic		•	or appu	ability of th	e wiigrator	y Diru Kuic to	appry to ousite,	non-jurisuic	uonai, istiateu,
TYPE OF DETERMINATION					P	reliminary [Or Appro	ved 🏻	
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL I rationale used to determine NJ connections; and paragraph 4	D, inclu	ding infor	mation	reviewed to	o assess po	tential navig			

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

FILE NUMBER: 200500252 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. ☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site. ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. ☐ There are no jurisdictional Determination: ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable)
action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport
waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CAPRON. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Little Beaver Creek is tributary to Piscasaw Creek, which is tributary to the Kishwaukee River. Kishwaukee River is tributary to the Rock River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 16, 2004, prepared by Bollinger, Lach and Associates, Inc. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Approved by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

^{&#}x27;Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: TWJ Associates, Ltd. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 5007 S. Lawndale, Chicago, Cook County, Illinois PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field **FILE NUMBER: 200500417** Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ENGLEWOOD. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ENGLEWOOD, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): February 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ____ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Wetland delineation report dated February 2005 Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): No wetlands were identified within the project site. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: _ 4- 28-05 Recommended by: ____ Date: Approved by: _ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.